

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

TERRI N. WHITE, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION  
SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
Defendant.

Case No. SA CV 05-1070 DOC (MLGx)  
(Lead Case)

AND RELATED CASES  
05-cv-1073, 05-cv-7821, 06-cv-3924,  
05-cv-1172, 06-cv-5060

**APPROVAL ORDER REGARDING  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND  
RELEASE**

AND RELATED CASES.

1 WHEREAS, on or about October 3, 2005, plaintiff Jose Hernandez filed an action  
2 against Defendants in the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
3 California, in which he asserted claims on behalf of a putative nationwide class of  
4 consumers relating to each of Defendants' procedures for reporting pre-bankruptcy debts  
5 of consumers who have obtained discharges through Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings  
6 (the "Hernandez case");

7 WHEREAS, on or about November 2, 2005, plaintiff Terri N. White<sup>1</sup> filed separate  
8 actions against each of the Defendants in this District, in which she asserted claims on  
9 behalf of a putative nationwide class of consumers relating to each of Defendants'  
10 procedures for reporting and reinvestigating pre-bankruptcy debts of consumers who have  
11 obtained discharges through Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings;

12 WHEREAS, on or about August 11, 2006, plaintiff Jose Hernandez and the White  
13 plaintiffs filed three separate Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaints,  
14 one against each Defendant ("Second Amended Complaints");<sup>2</sup>

15 WHEREAS, in these actions, the Plaintiffs allege that each Defendant willfully  
16 and/or negligently violated and continues to violate the Fair Credit Reporting Act  
17 ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, by allegedly failing to maintain reasonable  
18 procedures to assure the accurate reporting of debts that have been discharged in  
19 bankruptcy. Plaintiffs contend that each Defendant's current procedures, under which  
20 Defendants rely primarily on creditors and public record vendors to report the discharged  
21 status of debts and judgments, are unreasonable procedures under the FCRA. They  
22 further allege that Defendants fail to employ reasonable reinvestigation procedures

23  
24 <sup>1</sup> The original named plaintiffs in the action against Equifax were Terri N. White, Robert Radcliffe, Chester Carter, and Milagros Gabrillo. The original  
25 named plaintiffs in the action against Experian were Terri N. White, Robert Radcliffe, Chester Carter, and Arnold E. Lovell, Jr. The original named plaintiffs  
26 in the action against TransUnion were Terri N. White, Robert Radcliffe, Chester Carter, and Maria Falcon. Collectively, these plaintiffs are referenced herein as the  
"White plaintiffs."

27 <sup>2</sup> The Second Amended Complaints added two new plaintiffs: Clifton C. Seale, III, and Alex K. Gidi. On October 19, 2007, plaintiffs Terri N. White,  
28 Alex K. Gidi, and Milagros Gabrillo were dismissed by court order.

1 pursuant to the FCRA. Plaintiffs assert claims for (i) willful and/or negligent violation of  
2 Section 1681e(b) of the FCRA and its California counterpart, Cal. Civ. Code Section  
3 1785.14(b), for failure to maintain reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible  
4 accuracy; (ii) willful and/or negligent violation of Section 1681i of the FCRA and its  
5 California counterpart, Cal. Civ. Code Section 1785.16, for failure to reasonably  
6 investigate Consumer disputes regarding the status of the discharged accounts; and (iii)  
7 violation of California's Unfair Competition law, Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17200, *et*  
8 *seq.*;

9 WHEREAS, in or around September 2006, Defendants answered the various  
10 Second Amended Complaints, denying the allegations therein, denying that the actions are  
11 suitable for certification pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and asserting  
12 numerous affirmative defenses that Defendants contend are meritorious notwithstanding  
13 their willingness to enter into a settlement;

14 WHEREAS, Defendants contend that their current procedures for reporting and  
15 reinvestigating the discharged status of debts are both reasonable and comply fully with  
16 the requirements of the FCRA and equivalent states laws;

17 WHEREAS, plaintiff Jose L. Acosta, Jr., filed an action against TransUnion in  
18 California Superior Court on or around May 12, 2003, and later filed a new action against  
19 TransUnion in federal court on August 14, 2006 joined by plaintiffs Robert Randall and  
20 Bertram Robison,<sup>3</sup> and plaintiff Kathryn Pike filed an action in California Superior Court  
21 against Equifax on or around October 14, 2005, in which they also asserted claims on  
22 behalf of a putative California class of Consumers relating to TransUnion's or Equifax's  
23 procedures for reporting pre-bankruptcy debts of consumers who have obtained  
24 discharges through Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings;

25 WHEREAS, each of these cases has been either filed, transferred, or removed such  
26 that they are before this Court under the following case numbers: *Terri N. White, et al. v.*

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>3</sup> Plaintiff Acosta has since been dismissed from the federal court action and  
also dismissed his California Superior Court action.

1 *Experian Information Solutions, Inc.*, Case No. SA CV 05-1070 (Lead Case number);  
2 *Terri N. White, et al. v. Equifax Information Services LLC*, Case No. CV 05-7821; *Terri*  
3 *N. White, et al. v. Trans Union LLC*, Case No. CV 05-1073; *Jose Hernandez v. Equifax*  
4 *Information Services, LLC, et al.*, Case No. CV 06-3924; *Jose L. Acosta et al., v. Trans*  
5 *Union LLC, et al.*, Case No. CV 06-5060; and *Kathryn L. Pike v. Equifax Information*  
6 *Services, LLC*, Case No. CV 05-1172 (collectively, the “Litigation”);

7           WHEREAS, Plaintiffs in the various cases have undertaken substantial  
8 investigation and formal discovery in the Litigation (including review of tens of thousands  
9 of pages of documents, retention and consultation of numerous experts in the fields of  
10 credit reporting and consumer bankruptcies, interviews with numerous Consumers, review  
11 of thousands of Consumer credit reports, and numerous depositions), in support of the  
12 prosecution of the Litigation and settlement negotiations relating thereto;

13           WHEREAS, on or about August 15, 2007, this Court urged the Parties to proceed  
14 to mediation and, since then, the Parties have conducted arms-length and contentious  
15 negotiations during the course of a lengthy and complicated mediation that has included  
16 six in-person sessions with the Hon. Lourdes Baird (Ret.) and several additional sessions  
17 involving counsel for the Parties;

18           WHEREAS, in this Court’s prior rulings and comments to counsel, the Court has  
19 urged the Parties to reach agreement concerning alternative procedures for Defendants to  
20 report pre-bankruptcy tradelines and has expressed its general approval of procedures  
21 involving the use of assumptions regarding the likely discharge status of pre-bankruptcy  
22 tradelines, commenting that such procedures “promise to significantly reduce the number  
23 of inaccuracies inhering in the credit reports that [Defendants] prepare in the future”  
24 (Order Denying Approval of Stip. Pltf. Class, dated Mar. 6, 2007 in CV 06-5060 DOC  
25 (MLGx), at 29:11-13) and observing that the prior settlement attempt in *Pike/Acosta* “was  
26 pretty close” (Mot. for Sum. Jud. Hrg Tr., Aug. 15, 2007, Vol. II 23:25-24:2);  
27  
28

1           WHEREAS, Defendants have objected to the use of assumptions in reporting the  
2 discharged status of pre-bankruptcy debts, contending that it would inevitably introduce  
3 certain inaccuracies onto the credit Files of consumers and that changing to new  
4 procedures based on assumptions poses a risk of harming consumers' credit scores and  
5 their ability to obtain credit;

6           WHEREAS, Plaintiffs believe that procedures using certain assumptions regarding  
7 the discharged status of pre-bankruptcy debts will, on balance, make credit reports more  
8 accurate than previously and that the negative impact, if any, on individual consumers'  
9 creditworthiness will be outweighed by the benefit to such consumers and collaterally to  
10 the public of having more accurate reports;

11           WHEREAS, the Parties have now agreed to a Settlement Agreement incorporating  
12 new procedures that make use of assumptions regarding the likely discharged status of  
13 certain pre-bankruptcy tradelines and civil judgments, and these procedures and  
14 assumptions are crafted so as to reduce the potential harm to consumers from their  
15 adoption;

16           WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and the proposed 23(b)(2) Settlement Class Counsel believe  
17 that the Settlement Agreement provides fair, reasonable, and adequate relief to the  
18 23(b)(2) Settlement Class, and is in the best interests of the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class as a  
19 whole because they believe that it will increase the accuracy of credit reports that  
20 Defendants will issue in the future regarding all or nearly all 23(b)(2) Settlement Class  
21 members;

22           WHEREAS, Defendants deny all claims asserted against them in the Litigation,  
23 deny that class certification would be appropriate if the cases are litigated rather than  
24 settled, deny all allegations of wrongdoing and liability, deny that anyone was harmed by  
25 the conduct alleged, and deny that this Court has authority to issue an injunction or  
26 declaratory relief, but nevertheless have agreed to the terms and conditions set forth in the  
27 Settlement Agreement to avoid the burden, expense, risk and uncertainty of continuing the  
28

1 proceedings on those issues in the Litigation, and to put to rest the controversies  
2 engendered;

3 WHEREAS, the parties have filed a Joint Motion and Stipulation for an Approval  
4 Order that would direct Defendants to use the new credit reporting procedures set forth in  
5 the Settlement Agreement and that would fully and finally settle Plaintiffs' claims for  
6 injunctive and declaratory relief;

7 WHEREAS, the Court has reviewed and considered the Settlement Agreement and  
8 having found that there exists substantial and sufficient grounds for entering this Approval  
9 Order ("Order");

10 **I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11 1.1 This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section  
12 1331, as a civil action arising under the laws of the United States, and  
13 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1681(p), as a civil action to enforce liability  
14 under the FCRA. Supplemental jurisdiction is conferred on this Court  
15 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367 over all claims based on State law  
16 pleaded in the Litigation on the ground that they are so related to claims  
17 within its original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or  
18 controversy under Article III of the U.S. Constitution.

19 1.2 The Defendants do not contest venue.

20 **II. DEFINITIONS**

21 2.1 As used in this Order, the terms defined below shall have the meanings  
22 assigned to them when capitalized in the same fashion as in this Part II, and  
23 any other terms that relate to the credit reporting industry shall have the  
24 customary meaning accorded to those terms in the credit reporting industry.

25 2.2 "Agreed Bankruptcy Coding"

26 a. For civil judgments, "Agreed Bankruptcy Coding" means the  
27 Defendant shall change the status of the judgment to indicate that the  
28

1 judgment was discharged in bankruptcy and that no amount remains  
2 owing on the judgment. This may be accomplished by status code,  
3 public record type, narrative code, or other reporting so long as the  
4 updated record is adequately described as discharged, dismissed,  
5 satisfied, paid, included in bankruptcy or vacated. The Defendant  
6 may use, *inter alia* and without limitation, the terminology “civil  
7 judgment included in bankruptcy” or “included in bankruptcy”, or --  
8 to accommodate field restrictions or other technological constraints --  
9 a reasonable abbreviation of this phrase, such as “CVL JGMT IN  
10 BKCY.” Each may employ reasonable definitional language for this  
11 terminology, in its communications with furnishers, consistent with  
12 the terms of this Order. The Defendant will also set the amount of  
13 the judgment equal to zero or, in their sole discretion, they may blank  
14 out that field, so as to indicate that no debt is due or owing after the  
15 discharge date. Alternatively, the Defendant may delete or suppress  
16 the judgment from the File.

- 17 b. For tradelines or Collection Accounts, “Agreed Bankruptcy Coding”  
18 means the Defendant shall code the tradeline or Collection Account  
19 with a Consumer Information Indicator (CII Code; Base segment  
20 field 38) of E (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding  
21 equivalent) to indicate that the account is discharged in the  
22 Consumer’s Chapter 7 bankruptcy (e.g., by use of the terminology  
23 “included in bankruptcy”) and shall update the tradeline or Collection  
24 Account to reflect a zero-dollar or blank account balance and past  
25 due balance as to the Consumer who received the bankruptcy  
26 discharge, so as to indicate that no debt is due or owing by the  
27 Consumer after the discharge date. Nothing in this Order shall  
28

1 prevent or sanction the Defendant from deleting or suppressing  
2 tradelines or Collection Accounts from the File. Defendants will also  
3 implement reasonable procedures designed to prevent the subsequent  
4 reporting of a new status, rating, account balance, past due balance,  
5 Major or Minor Derogatory payment history, or narrative for  
6 tradelines or Collection Accounts as to such tradelines or Collection  
7 Accounts that have been updated pursuant hereto (except where such  
8 reporting is permitted by other provisions of this Order).

9  
10 2.3 “Agreement” or “Settlement Agreement” means the Settlement Agreement  
and Release submitted by the Parties.

11 2.4 “Approval Date” means the date the Court enters this Order.

12 2.5 “Bankruptcy Date” means the month during which—according to the  
13 applicable Defendant’s computer systems—a Consumer filed a bankruptcy  
14 petition that later led to a public record in the Consumer’s File of the entry  
15 of a discharge order pursuant to Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy  
16 Code. The “Bankruptcy Date” may be reflected as the “date filed” in the  
17 public records section of a Consumer’s File.

18 2.6 “Closed Account” means that an account is reporting with a zero balance  
19 and has a narrative or other code indicating any of the following: (a) that the  
20 account has been closed by a consumer or creditor; (b) that the account has a  
21 Current Status; (c) that the account has been transferred, sold, lost or stolen;  
22 (d) or that the account is an installment loan that has been paid in full.

23 2.7 “Collection Account” means an account identified by a Defendant as  
24 reflecting the collection activity of a third party collection agency or debt  
25 buyer on behalf of the original creditor.

26 2.8 A “Consumer” is an individual, residing in the United States of America or  
27 its territories, whose File in a Defendant’s systems includes a public record  
28



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

entry reporting an order of discharge pursuant to Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

- 2.9 “Court” means this United States District Court for the Central District of California.
- 2.10 “Current Status” means an account status or rating indicating that, as of the date of last reporting, there is no outstanding, overdue, and delinquent balance currently due.
- 2.11 “Date of Initial Delinquency” or “DID” means the first month during which a Major or Minor Derogatory event is reported for a tradeline, as shown in the applicable Defendant’s records. Based upon differences in reporting methods, each Defendant may, at its option, use such dates as the Purge Date, Date of First Delinquency or Date of Last Activity to determine the DID for purposes of compliance with this Order.
- 2.12 “Defendants” mean Equifax Information Services LLC, Experian Information Solutions, Inc, and TransUnion LLC.
- 2.13 “Discharge Date” means the month shown by a Defendant in the public records section of a Consumer’s File as reflecting a discharge pursuant to Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The “Discharge Date” may be reflected as the “date paid” in the public records section of a Consumer’s File.
- 2.14 “Equifax” means Equifax Information Services LLC.
- 2.15 “Equifax’s Counsel” means Kilpatrick Stockton, LLP.
- 2.16 “Experian” means Experian Information Solutions, Inc.
- 2.17 “Experian’s Counsel” means Jones Day.
- 2.18 “FCRA” means the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 1681 *et seq.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2.19 “FCRA State Equivalents” means any statute or regulation of any state, U.S. territory, the District of Columbia, or Puerto Rico, that has the purpose or effect of regulating the collection or reporting of consumer credit information subject to the FCRA (including, without limitation, the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act (“CCRAA”), Cal. Civ. Code Section 1785.1 *et seq.*).

2.20 “File” means a “file,” as defined in 15 U.S.C. Section 1681a(g), in a Defendant’s computer system that contains a public record of a Consumer having received a discharge pursuant to Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

2.21 “Final” refers to this Court’s entry of this Approval Order with respect to Plaintiffs’ claims for declaratory and injunctive relief becoming final because either (i) no appeal of the Order has been filed and the time within which an appeal may be filed has lapsed, or (ii) if a timely appeal has been filed, the appeal is finally resolved, with no possibility of further appellate review, resulting in final judicial approval of the Settlement Agreement. For purposes of this paragraph, the term “appeal” includes writ proceedings.

2.22 “Last Reported Status” means the account rating or status of a tradeline (e.g., current, past due 30 days, charge-off) as of the date the respective creditor last reported information relating to that tradeline to a Defendant.

2.23 The “Litigated Issues” means: (1) Defendants’ post-discharge reporting of Consumer credit information relating to pre-bankruptcy debts or civil judgments; (2) Defendants’ reinvestigations of Consumer disputes asserting that certain debts or civil judgments had been discharged in bankruptcy but were not being reported as such in Defendants’ credit files; and (3) all other issues actually pleaded in the complaints on file in the Litigation or reasonably related thereto.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2.24 “Litigation” means *Terri N. White, et al. v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc.*, Case No. SA CV 05-1070 (Lead Case number); *Terri N. White, et al. v. Equifax Information Services LLC*, Case No. CV 05-7821; *Terri N. White, et al. v. Trans Union LLC*, Case No. CV 05-1073; *Jose Hernandez v. Equifax Information Services, LLC, et al.*, Case No. CV 06-3924; *Jose L. Acosta et al., v. Trans Union LLC, et al.*, Case No. CV 06-5060; and *Kathryn L. Pike v. Equifax Information Services, LLC*, Case No. CV 05-1172, all of which are pending before this Court.

2.25 “Minor Derogatory” means an account rating or status of late by 30 to 119 days. If a Defendant does not, in its discretion, include “deed in lieu,” “voluntary repossession” or “voluntarily surrendered” as a Major Derogatory status for purposes of this Order, it shall so include them as a Minor Derogatory status.

2.26 “Major Derogatory” means an account rating or status of charge off, in collections, repossession, foreclosure, foreclosure proceedings started, skip cannot locate, paid by dealer, or any account rating or status of late by 120 days or more. Defendants may, at their discretion, also include an account rating or status of “deed in lieu,” “voluntary repossession” or “voluntarily surrendered” as a “Major Derogatory” status. “Major Derogatory” does not include Collection Accounts.

2.27 “Parties” means Plaintiffs, Equifax, Experian, and TransUnion.

2.28 “Plaintiffs” means Jose Hernandez, Chester Carter, Robert Radcliffe, Arnold E. Lovell, Jr., Maria Falcon, Clifton C. Seale, III, Robert Randall, Bertram Robison, and Kathryn Pike.

2.29 “Released Claims” means all past, present, and future claims for injunctive relief and declaratory relief that arise out of the Litigated Issues under any federal or state statute or common law or other theory that was alleged or

1 could have been alleged, including but not limited to any and all claims  
2 under the FCRA, FCRA State Equivalents, credit reporting statutes,  
3 deceptive or unfair practices statutes, or any other statute, regulation or  
4 judicial interpretation. “Released Claims” for injunctive relief and  
5 declaratory relief include any and all claims, actions, demands, causes of  
6 action, suits, obligations, rights or liabilities, of any nature and description  
7 whatsoever—known or unknown, present or future, concealed or hidden,  
8 fixed or contingent, anticipated or unanticipated—for injunctive relief and  
9 declaratory relief whether based in tort, contract, law, equity or otherwise  
10 that arise out of the Litigated Issues and that have been or could have been  
11 asserted by Plaintiffs or the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members or any of  
12 their respective heirs, spouses, executors, administrators, partners, attorneys,  
13 predecessors, successors, assigns, agents and/or representatives, and/or  
14 anyone acting or purporting to act on their behalf. “Released Claims” shall  
15 not include any claims permitted under Section VI (Dispute Resolution  
16 Process) of this Order.

17 2.30 “Released Parties” means and refers to: (a) TransUnion LLC and its  
18 present, former and future officers, directors, partners, employees, agents,  
19 attorneys, servants, heirs, administrators, executors, members, member  
20 entities, shareholders, predecessors, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries,  
21 parents, representatives, trustees, principals, insurers, vendors and assigns,  
22 jointly and severally; (b) Equifax Information Services LLC and its present,  
23 former and future officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, attorneys,  
24 servants, heirs, administrators, executors, members, member entities,  
25 shareholders, predecessors, successors, affiliates (including, without  
26 limitation, CSC Credit Services, Inc.), subsidiaries, parents, representatives,  
27 trustees, principals, insurers, vendors and assigns, jointly and severally; and  
28

1 (c) Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and its present, former and future  
2 officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, attorneys, servants, heirs,  
3 administrators, executors, members, member entities, shareholders,  
4 predecessors, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries, parents, representatives,  
5 trustees, principals, insurers, vendors and assigns, jointly and severally.  
6 With the exception of CSC Credit Services, Inc., this release is not intended  
7 to govern or apply to any affiliate or reseller of Defendants' consumer  
8 reports unless such affiliate or reseller shares common ownership.

9  
10 2.31 "Settlement" means the Agreement between Plaintiffs, on behalf of  
11 themselves and as proposed representatives of the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class,  
12 and Equifax, Experian, and TransUnion to settle and compromise all of  
13 Plaintiffs' claims in the Litigation for declaratory and injunctive relief (and  
14 all issues relating thereto) fully, finally and forever, as memorialized in the  
15 Agreement and the accompanying documents attached thereto.

16 2.32 "23(b)(2) Settlement Class" means all Consumers whose credit Files include  
17 a Discharge Date prior to the month of the Approval Date.

18 2.33 "23(b)(2) Settlement Class Counsel" means Daniel Wolf, Esq., Law Offices  
19 Of Daniel Wolf; Leonard A. Bennett, Esq., Consumer Litigation Associates,  
20 P.C.; Mitchell A. Toups, Esq., Weller, Green, Toups & Terrell, L.L.P.;  
21 Michael Caddell, Esq, Caddell & Chapman; Michael W. Sobol, Esq., Lieff  
22 Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein; Charles W. Juntikka, Esq., Charles Juntikka  
23 & Associates; Charles Delbaum and Stuart T. Rossman, National Consumer  
24 Law Center; and Lee A. Sherman, Esq., Callahan, McCune & Willis, APLC.

25 2.34 "Status Quo Ante" means the restoration of the Parties to their respective  
26 positions in the Litigation as of January 30, 2008.

27 2.35 "TransUnion" means TransUnion LLC.

28 2.36 "TransUnion's Counsel" means Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

### III. IMPLEMENTATION OF REPORTING CHANGES

3.1 Retroactive Scrub: Defendants will make the changes identified in this section to all active Files, including, for Equifax, Files owned by CSC Credit Services, Inc., in the computer systems that they use to generate credit reports for commercial distribution; provided, however, Defendants may but are not obligated to update archived Files, except to the extent archived Files are accessed to generate current credit reports. Defendants will update each pre-bankruptcy judgment, tradeline, or Collection Account in such Files, or ensure that credit reports generated from such Files display, in accord with the procedures set forth below. In determining which set of procedures to apply to an entry, Defendants may make reasonable assumptions based upon other information within the File or provided by the Consumer.

a. Pre-bankruptcy civil judgments shall be treated as follows:

- (i) The Defendant shall identify each public record judgment in the File that is reported with either a month filed or a month of judgment (or both) that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date.
- (ii) From these judgments, the Defendant shall exclude any judgment that is reported as vacated, satisfied, paid, settled or included in bankruptcy.
- (iii) For judgments not so excluded, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.
- (iv) Notwithstanding the above, nothing herein shall require the Defendant to apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding to any item it reasonably assumes or believes to be statutorily non-dischargeable, including without limitation: (i) judgments

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

obtained by governmental child support agencies, taxing authorities, or arising out of governmental fines or penalties; (ii) tax liens, whether or not reduced to judgment; (iii) judgments obtained by Sallie Mae or otherwise arising out of non-dischargeable student loan obligations; (iv) judgments relating to alimony or other domestic support or property settlement obligations; or (v) judgments that were the subject of a successful objection to discharge. The Defendant may thereafter continue to report the judgment as owing and will not be required to change the record, absent clear evidence that the debt has, in fact, been discharged.

- b. Pre-bankruptcy tradelines with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of I (Installment) or M (Mortgage) (or equivalent) shall be treated as follows:
- (i) The Defendant shall identify each tradeline with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of I or M (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) that is reported in the File with a date opened month that predates or is equal to the month of the Bankruptcy Date and is not a Closed Account as of the Bankruptcy Date or, at the Defendant's option, the date the scrubbing is accomplished.
  - (ii) From these tradelines, the Defendant shall undertake to exclude any tradeline that:
    - (A) is reported with a Metro 2 account code type (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) of 12 (Education), 50 (Family Support), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(Government Fine), 72, 73, 74, 75, 93 (Child Support), 94 (Spouse Support per Metro 1) or 95 (Attorney Fees);

(B) is reported with an account status reflecting a Chapter 7 bankruptcy;

(C) is reported with a Metro 2 Consumer Information Indicator of R (Reaffirmation of Debt) or 2A (Lease Assumption) or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent; and/or

(D) is reported with an indication that property was redeemed, voluntarily surrendered, or was subject to a deed in lieu of foreclosure.

(iii) For tradelines not so excluded, where the Last Reported Status of the tradeline in the File is a Major Derogatory status, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.

c. Pre-bankruptcy tradelines with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of “R” (Revolving), “C” (Line of Credit) or “O” (Open Account) (or equivalent, or indeterminable) shall be treated as follows:

(i) The Defendant shall identify each tradeline in a File with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of R, C or O (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) that is reported with a “date opened” month that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date and is not a Closed Account as of the Bankruptcy Date or, at the Defendant’s option, the date the scrubbing is accomplished.

(ii) From these tradelines, the Defendant shall undertake to exclude any tradeline that:



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- (A) is reported with a Metro 2 account code type (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) of 12 (Education), 50 (Family Support), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 (Government Fine), 72, 73, 74, 75, 93 (Child Support), 94 (Spouse Support per Metro 1) or 95 (Attorney Fees);
  - (B) is reported with an account status reflecting a Chapter 7 bankruptcy;
  - (C) is reported with a Metro 2 Consumer Information Indicator of R (Reaffirmation of Debt) or 2A (Lease Assumption) or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent; and/or
  - (D) is reported with an indication that property was redeemed, voluntarily surrendered, or was subject to a deed in lieu of foreclosure.
- (iii) For each tradeline not so excluded, the Defendant will change the tradeline according to the following rules:
- (A) If the tradeline contains a DID that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date and the Last Reported Status in the File is a Major Derogatory status, then the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding;
  - (B) If the tradeline contains a DID that postdates the Bankruptcy Date and the Bankruptcy Date is on or any date up to six months preceding the date of the file output used to determine and accomplish the changes ordered herein and the Last Reported Status of the tradeline in the File is a Major or Minor Derogatory account status, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding; and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- (C) If the tradeline contains a DID that postdates the Bankruptcy Date and the Bankruptcy Date is earlier than six months before the date of the file output used to determine and accomplish the changes ordered herein and the Last Reported Status of the tradeline in the File is a Major Derogatory status, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.
- (iv) The provisions of this Section 3.1(c) are not intended to apply to tradelines coded as Authorized User.
- d. Pre-bankruptcy Collection Accounts shall be treated as follows:
  - (i) The Defendant will identify each tradeline identifiable as a Collection Account (by means readily available, to include, by example only, account type, subscriber code, industry code, account status or account narrative), that is not reported in the File as a Closed Account, reaffirmed or as a “lease assumption” as of the Bankruptcy Date or, at the Defendant’s option, the date the scrubbing is accomplished with any of the following conditions: a DID that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date, a date opened month that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date, or a date referred to collections month that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date.
  - (ii) From these accounts, the Defendant shall undertake to exclude any Collection Account that is reported
    - (A) with a Metro 2 account code type (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) of 12 (Education), 50 (Family Support), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 (Government Fine), 72, 73, 74, 75, 93 (Child Support), 94 (Spouse Support per Metro 1) or 95 (Attorney Fees), or that it

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

can reasonably determine relates to a debt qualifying for one of these code types; and/or

(B) is reported with an account status reflecting a Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

(iii) For each such Collection Account not so excluded, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.

e. Nothing shall preclude a Defendant from applying the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding to a tradeline or Collection Account that would have qualified for inclusion in the Retroactive Scrub but for the fact that no information was available regarding when that tradeline or Collection Account was opened.

3.2 Prospective Relief Reporting Changes: Defendants will make the changes identified in this section to Files of Consumers for whom Defendants receive notice of a Chapter 7 discharge after the retroactive scrub procedures described in Paragraph 3.1 have been fully implemented. Within 60 days of adding a public record entry reflecting a Chapter 7 discharge to a Consumer's credit File, Defendants will update each pre-bankruptcy judgment, tradeline, or Collection Account in that File, or ensure that credit reports generated from such Files display, in accord with the procedures set forth below. In determining which set of procedures to apply to an entry, Defendants may make reasonable assumptions based upon information within the File or provided by the Consumer.

a. Pre-bankruptcy civil judgments shall be treated as follows:

(i) The Defendant shall identify each public record judgment in the File that is reported with either a month filed or a month of judgment (or both) that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- (ii) From these judgments, the Defendant shall exclude any judgment that is reported as vacated, satisfied, paid, settled or included in bankruptcy.
- (iii) For judgments not so excluded, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.
- (iv) Notwithstanding the above, nothing herein shall require the Defendant to apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding to any item it reasonably assumes or believes to be statutorily non dischargeable, including without limitation: (i) judgments obtained by governmental child support agencies, taxing authorities, or arising out of governmental fines or penalties; (ii) tax liens, whether or not reduced to judgment; (iii) judgments obtained by Sallie Mae or arising out of non-dischargeable student loan obligations; (iv) judgments relating to alimony or other domestic support or property settlement obligations; or (v) judgments that were the subject of a successful objection to discharge. The Defendant may thereafter continue to report the judgment as owing and will not be required to change the record.

- b. Pre-bankruptcy tradelines with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of I (Installment) or M (Mortgage) (or equivalent) shall be treated as follows:
  - (i) The Defendant shall identify each tradeline with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of I or M (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) that is reported in the File with a date opened that predates or is equal either to the month of or

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

to the month prior to the Bankruptcy Date and is not reported in the File as a Closed Account.

- (ii) From these tradelines, the Defendant shall undertake to exclude any tradeline that, as of the Bankruptcy Date or, at the Defendant’s option, at the time the scrubbing is accomplished:
  - (A) is reported with a Metro 2 account code type (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) of 12 (Education), 50 (Family Support), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 (Government Fine), 72, 73, 74, 75, 93 (Child Support), 94 (Spouse Support per Metro 1) or 95 (Attorney Fees);
  - (B) is reported with an account status reflecting a Chapter 7 bankruptcy;
  - (C) is reported with a Metro 2 Consumer Information Indicator of R (Reaffirmation of Debt) or 2A (Lease Assumption) or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent;
  - (D) is reported with an indication that property was redeemed, voluntarily surrendered, or was subject to a deed in lieu of foreclosure; or
  - (E) is reporting either (a) in a Current Status or (b) with a \$0 balance and in a status other than Major Derogatory.
- (iii) For tradelines not so excluded, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.

c. Pre-bankruptcy tradelines with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of “R” (Revolving), “C” (Line of Credit) or “O” (Open Account) (or equivalent, or indeterminable) shall be treated as follows:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- (i) The Defendant shall identify each tradeline with a Metro 2 Portfolio Type of R, C or O (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) that is reported with a date opened that predates or is equal either to the month of or to the month prior to the Bankruptcy Date and is not reported in the File as a Closed Account.
- (ii) From these tradelines, the Defendant shall undertake to exclude any tradeline that, as of the Bankruptcy Date or, at the Defendant's option, at the time the scrubbing is accomplished:
  - (A) is reported with a Metro 2 account code type (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) of 12 (Education), 50 (Family Support), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 (Government Fine), 72, 73, 74, 75, or 93 (Child Support), 94 (Spouse Support per Metro 1) or 95 (Attorney Fees);
  - (B) is reported with an account status reflecting a Chapter 7 bankruptcy;
  - (C) is reported with a Metro 2 Consumer Information Indicator of R (Reaffirmation of Debt) or 2A (Lease Assumption) or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent;
  - (D) is reported with an indication that property was redeemed, voluntarily surrendered, or was subject to a deed in lieu of foreclosure; or
  - (E) is reporting either (a) in a Current Status or (b) with a \$0 balance and in a status other than Major Derogatory.
- (iii) For tradelines not so excluded, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(iv) The provisions of this Section 3.2(c) are not intended to apply to tradelines coded as Authorized User.

d. Pre-bankruptcy Collection Accounts shall be treated as follows:

(i) The Defendant will identify each tradeline identifiable as a collection item (by means readily available, to include, by example only, account type, subscriber code, industry code, account status or account narrative), that is not reported in the File as a Closed Account, reaffirmed or as a “lease assumption” as of the Bankruptcy Date or, at the Defendant’s option, the date the scrubbing is accomplished with any of the following conditions: a DID that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date, a date opened that predates or is equal either to the month of or to the month prior to the Bankruptcy Date, or a date referred to collection that predates or is equal either to the month of or to the month prior to the Bankruptcy Date.

(ii) From these accounts, the Defendant may exclude any Collection Account that is reported:  
(A) with a Metro 2 account code type (or such other Defendant-specific or Metro 1 coding equivalent) of 12 (Education), 50 (Family Support), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 (Government Fine), 72, 73, 74, 75, 93 (Child Support), 94 (Spouse Support per Metro 1) or 95 (Attorney Fees), or that it can reasonably determine relates to a debt qualifying for one of these code types; or  
(B) is reported with an account status reflecting a Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(iii) For each such Collection Account not so excluded, the Defendant shall apply the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding.

(iv) If, after the update in the previous paragraph is completed, a furnisher reports a Collection Account that had not previously been reported on the credit File, which has a DID that predates or is equal to the Bankruptcy Date, a date opened that predates or is equal either to the month of or to the month prior to the Bankruptcy Date, or a date referred to collection that predates or is equal either to the month of or to the month prior to the Bankruptcy Date, the Defendant shall update the account in accordance with the previous paragraph. In the alternative, the Defendant can choose not to add the new Collection Account to the Consumer's File.

e. Nothing shall preclude a Defendant from applying the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding to a tradeline or Collection Account that would have qualified for application of the changes identified in this section 3.2 but for the fact that no information was available regarding when that tradeline or Collection Account was opened.

3.3 Bankruptcy Status Dates: Defendants will implement reasonable procedures designed to prevent updating the date of last update (Date Reported, Balance Date, Date Verified or, for Experian, date of status, last reported, and balance date) to a date that is more than one month after the Discharge Date in connection with an update of any tradeline, judgment or Collection Account pursuant to the procedures in Paragraphs 3.1 or 3.2; provided, however, that this provision shall not prohibit Defendants from reporting a later date of last update to reflect that information has been received from a furnisher on a date that is more than one month after the Discharge Date,



1 whether or not such furnisher update is accepted or rejected pursuant to the  
2 procedures set forth in Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 of this Order; provided  
3 further, however, that any such reporting of a later date of last update shall  
4 not change either the “Included in Bankruptcy” date or the “Purge Date.”

5  
6 3.4 Override of Update Procedures:

- 7 a. If, either before or after a tradeline or Collection Account is updated  
8 pursuant to the procedures in Paragraphs 3.1 or 3.2, (a) a furnisher  
9 reports to the Defendant that a tradeline or Collection Account for  
10 that furnisher should not be reported with a status of included or  
11 discharged in bankruptcy through the use of a special Consumer  
12 Information Indicator (such as CII Code Q or a Metro I equivalent) or  
13 (b) the Defendant determines that a debt in the tradeline or Collection  
14 Account was non-dischargeable, the Defendant may report such  
15 tradeline or Collection Account in a non-bankruptcy status, provided  
16 that the Defendant has not previously received truthful, objectively  
17 verifiable information directly from the Consumer in connection with  
18 a non-frivolous/non-irrelevant request for reinvestigation indicating  
19 the debt was in fact discharged.
- 20 b. If a Consumer submits a non-frivolous/non-irrelevant request for  
21 reinvestigation that claims a judgment, tradeline, or Collection  
22 Account updated by a Defendant to reflect a discharge pursuant to the  
23 procedures in Paragraphs 3.1 or 3.2 should not have been so updated  
24 because the judgment, tradeline, or Collection Account had not been  
25 discharged in bankruptcy, the Defendant may issue a Consumer  
26 Dispute Verification form (“CDV”) or Automated Consumer Dispute  
27 Verification (“ACDV”) to the relevant furnisher and, upon receiving  
28 the furnisher’s response to the CDV or ACDV, it may thereafter

1 report information consistent with the information the furnisher  
2 provided.

3 3.5 Notice of New Procedures: Defendants shall notify furnishers of the new  
4 procedures set forth herein as well as of their responsibility to report  
5 accurate information about accounts discharged in bankruptcy. Such  
6 notification may be given in any reasonable manner as the Defendant may  
7 choose as part of or in connection with Defendant's notification to  
8 furnishers, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(d)(1), of furnishers'  
9 responsibilities under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, and addition of such  
10 notification to (or transmission with) the form described in 16 C.F.R. Part  
11 698, Appendix G, shall not cause any Defendant to lose the legal benefit of  
12 the safe harbor provisions set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(d)(2) and 16  
13 C.F.R. § 698.2, or of any analogous safe harbor provision of state law.  
14 Nothing in this Order shall require a Defendant to notify a furnisher when it  
15 applies the Agreed Bankruptcy Coding to alter its reporting of the civil  
16 judgments, tradelines, and/or Collection Accounts in a specific Consumer's  
17 File or when it otherwise alters a File pursuant to the provisions of this  
18 Order.

19 3.6 Implementation Grace Period: Defendants shall not be in violation of this  
20 Order based upon any failure to comply that is a result of inadvertent  
21 programming errors or due to a failure to predict the consequences of  
22 applying the procedures herein to data reported in the Metro 1 format.  
23 Defendants shall correct any such non-compliance promptly after it is  
24 brought to their attention and shall take reasonable steps to confirm the  
25 effectiveness of any programming changes.

26 3.7 Preservation of Reinvestigation Rights: Nothing in this Order shall waive  
27 any Consumer's right to request a reinvestigation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1681i or excuse any Defendant from its going-forward obligations under 15 U.S.C. § 1681i, except as otherwise provided herein.

3.8 Nothing in this Order shall preclude Defendants from correcting erroneous reporting that a consumer has or has not filed for bankruptcy or has or has not received a bankruptcy discharge or to correct any reporting resulting from a furnisher's coding error.

3.9 This Order does not require any changes to a tradeline, judgment, Collection Account, or any portion of a File, except as provided for herein.

**IV. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF REPORTING CHANGES**

4.1 Defendants shall make reasonable and good faith efforts to implement the reporting changes contemplated herein prior to September 1, 2008. If a Defendant is unable to comply with this deadline for good cause, the Defendant shall receive a reasonable extension of time sufficient to permit implementation to be completed. Defendants shall work together in good faith to reasonably coordinate the timing of implementation.

Notwithstanding these provisions, Defendants shall complete implementation of these changes on or before March 31, 2009.

4.2 Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a credit file exists for purposes of holding information that could not be matched to a specific Consumer's File, such file need not be updated as set forth in this Order until the month following the date on which such file is matched to a specific Consumer's File.

**V. REASONABLENESS OF NEW PROCEDURES AND PROTECTION AGAINST FUTURE CLAIMS BASED ON ERRORS ARISING FROM UPDATES**

5.1 The new procedures, as described in Part III above, require Defendants to assume that certain categories of pre-bankruptcy consumer debts have been discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcies based on the statistical likelihood of

1 discharge of these categories of debt, and without either the affected  
2 creditors or Consumers reporting the debt to Defendants as having been  
3 discharged. Plaintiffs contend that adoption of these procedures will  
4 improve the overall accuracy of the reporting of pre-bankruptcy debts for  
5 such Consumers and that they have offered substantial evidence to support  
6 such contention.

7 5.2 Defendants believe that the new procedures will introduce some inaccurate,  
8 potentially harmful information onto the credit Files of certain 23(b)(2)  
9 Settlement Class members as well as those of certain Consumers who would  
10 be subject to the new procedures on a prospective basis. Defendants  
11 contend that this information may impair those Consumers'  
12 creditworthiness, including their ability to use pre-bankruptcy accounts that  
13 they may have intended to exclude from the bankruptcy discharge and their  
14 ability to obtain new post-bankruptcy credit.

15 5.3 The Parties have negotiated at length to craft procedures that attempt to  
16 provide benefits to many Consumers while attempting to manage any harm  
17 to the creditworthiness of other affected Consumers. For this reason,  
18 Plaintiffs and Defendants believe that, despite the Defendants' assertion of  
19 potential harm to certain Consumers' creditworthiness and the  
20 reasonableness of their existing procedures, the new procedures are a  
21 reasonable procedure to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the  
22 information appearing in Defendants' Files for Consumers receiving  
23 Chapter 7 discharges.

24 5.4 This Court finds that the procedures set forth in this Order in Section III are  
25 reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of  
26 Defendants' reporting of credit information regarding Consumers who have  
27 received a discharge pursuant to Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy  
28

1 Code. These reporting procedures hereby are conclusively deemed to  
2 comply with the FCRA, including but not limited to Section 1681e(b) of  
3 that Act, as well as with all FCRA State Equivalents.

4 5.5 The procedures set forth in Section 3.4(b) of this Order are reasonable  
5 procedures for conducting reinvestigations of Consumer disputes asserting  
6 that any credit information in the disputing Consumer's File is inaccurate  
7 due to a discharge pursuant to Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy  
8 Code received by the disputing Consumer before initiating the dispute.  
9 These reinvestigation procedures hereby are conclusively deemed to comply  
10 with the FCRA, including but not limited to Section 1681i of that Act, as  
11 well as with all FCRA State Equivalents.

12 5.6 This Order shall preclude all future litigation or attempted litigation under  
13 the FCRA or FCRA State Equivalents regarding the reasonableness of  
14 Defendants' post-discharge reporting of Consumer credit information  
15 relating to pre-bankruptcy debts or civil judgments, as well as the  
16 reasonableness of Defendants' procedures for reinvestigations of Consumer  
17 disputes regarding the same, brought by any and all Consumers receiving  
18 bankruptcy discharges after the date of this Order. Consumers hereby are  
19 precluded from contending, absent a fundamental change in circumstance,  
20 that the procedures set forth in this Order are not reasonably designed to  
21 assure maximum possible accuracy under Section 1681e(b) and Section  
22 1681i of the FCRA and/or under the analogous standards of FCRA State  
23 Equivalents.

## 24 **VI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS**

25 6.1 Prior to the filing of any motion to enforce this Order, an aggrieved party  
26 shall provide notice of the alleged violation to the allegedly violating party,  
27 shall meet and confer in good faith with that party regarding the alleged  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

violation, and shall allow that party a reasonable opportunity to cure the alleged violation.

6.2 Concurrent with the filing of any motion to enforce this Order, the moving party shall file a declaration evidencing its compliance with Section 6.1 of this Order.

**VII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS**

7.1 Unless otherwise specified herein, whenever notifications, submissions or communications are required by this Order, they shall be in writing and shall be given (i) by hand delivery; or (ii) by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage pre-paid; or (iii) by Federal Express or similar overnight courier to counsel for the Party to whom notice is directed at the following addresses:

Equifax:

Mara McRae, Esq.  
Kali Wilson Beyah, Esq.  
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP  
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800  
Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

Experian:

Daniel J. McLoon, Esq.  
JONES DAY  
555 South Flower Street  
Fiftieth Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2300

TransUnion:

Julia B. Strickland, Esq.  
STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP  
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1800  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

White/Hernandez Plaintiffs:

Michael W. Sobol, Esq.  
LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP  
275 Battery Street, 30th Fl.  
San Francisco, California 94111

Pike/Acosta Plaintiffs:

1 Lee A. Sherman, Esq.  
2 CALLAHAN, MCCUNE & WILLIS, APLC  
3 111 Fashion Lane  
4 Tustin, California 92780-3397

5 7.2 Any Party may, by written notice to all the other Parties, change its  
6 designated recipient or notice address provided above. Notices submitted  
7 pursuant to this Section shall be deemed submitted upon mailing, unless  
8 otherwise provided in this Order.

9 7.3 The Court finds that Defendants have complied with the notice provisions of  
10 28 U.S.C. Section 1715.

11 **VIII. CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS**

12 8.1 Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and solely for purposes of  
13 effectuating the Settlement of claims for injunctive and declaratory relief,  
14 this Court certifies a 23(b)(2) Settlement Class defined as all Consumers  
15 whose credit Files include a Discharge Date prior to the month of the  
16 Approval Date.

17 8.2 Certification of the Settlement Class shall be solely for settlement purposes  
18 and without prejudice to the Settling Parties in the event that the Settlement  
19 does not become Final or otherwise does not take effect, in which case  
20 certification of the Settlement Class shall be vacated and shall have no  
21 effect. In addition, under such circumstances, the terms and provisions of  
22 this Order and of all orders certifying or preliminary certifying any claim or  
23 subclaim pursuant to the Settlement Agreement shall become null and void  
24 and of no further force and effect and shall not be utilized in the Litigation  
25 or any other proceeding for any purpose, and the parties shall be restored to  
26 the Status Quo Ante.

27 8.3 The Court designates Plaintiffs Jose Hernandez, Chester Carter, Robert  
28 Radcliffe, Arnold E. Lovell, Jr., Maria Falcon, Clifton C. Seale, III, Robert

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Randall, Bertram Robison, and Kathryn Pike as representatives of the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class.

8.4 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), and after consideration of the factors described therein, the Court designates the following attorneys as 23(b)(2) Settlement Class Counsel: Daniel Wolf, Esq., Law Offices Of Daniel Wolf; Leonard A. Bennett, Esq., Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C.; Mitchell A. Toups, Esq., Weller, Green, Toups & Terrell, L.L.P.; Michael Caddell, Esq, Caddell & Chapman; Michael W. Sobol, Esq., Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein; Charles W. Juntikka, Esq., Charles Juntikka & Associates; Charles Delbaum and Stuart T. Rossman, National Consumer Law Center; and Lee A. Sherman, Esq, Callahan, McCune & Willis, APLC.

**IX. FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING CLAIMS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DECLARATORY RELIEF OF 23(B)(2) SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS WITH PREJUDICE AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS BY SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS**

9.1 The Court finds that the new credit reporting procedures as described herein and in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable and adequate and are in the best interests of the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class. The Court further finds that the Settlement, as a whole, is fair, reasonable and adequate and is in the best interests of the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class.

9.2 The Settlement is hereby approved pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2).

9.3 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), the Court determines there is no just reason to delay entry of this Approval Order until a final resolution of the Litigation is reached. The Court further determines that only the declaratory and injunctive relief claims of Plaintiffs are subject to



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

this Order and are dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiffs may continue to prosecute their remaining claims against Defendants.

9.4 Upon this Approval Order becoming Final, Plaintiffs and each member of the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class, their respective spouses, heirs, executors, administrators, representatives, agents, attorneys, partners, successors, predecessors and assigns and all those acting or purporting to act on their behalf with respect to their TransUnion and/or Equifax and/or Experian credit report(s), shall conclusively be deemed to have fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged the Released Parties from and against any and all of the Released Claims. No 23(b)(2) Settlement Class member may exclude him or herself from the provisions of the Settlement governing the 23(b)(2) Settlement Class, including without limitation the release of past and future claims for injunctive or declaratory relief relating thereto.

9.5 The Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve the Plaintiffs' remaining claims, to resolve any future disputes arising out of the terms and conditions of the Settlement, and to effectuate the Settlement. The Court shall likewise retain jurisdiction to address any issue of attorneys' fees and costs that may be unresolved by the Parties, provided, however, that nothing herein is intended to or shall be construed as a finding that Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorneys' fees or costs, or as a waiver of any ground on which Defendants may oppose, or Plaintiffs may support, an award of attorneys' fees or costs.

9.6 This Approval Order shall be entered as to Plaintiffs, Defendants and all 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**X. NO ADMISSION OR FINDING OF LIABILITY**

10.1 Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, each and all of the claims and allegations in the Litigation. Defendants have asserted and continue to assert many defenses thereto and have expressly denied and continue to deny any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever arising out of the conduct alleged in the Litigation. Nothing in this Order is intended to be, or may be construed as, or may be used in any proceeding as, an admission by, or finding against, any or all Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, or any infirmity of any defenses asserted by any or all Defendants, including, without limitation, arguments in opposition to any motion for class certification. Defendants continue to expressly deny any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, as well as the validity of each of the claims and prayers for relief asserted in the Litigation.

10.2 Defendants deny that a class should be certified other than for purposes of this Settlement. Nothing in this Order is intended to be, or may be construed as, or may be used in any proceeding as, an admission by, or a finding against, any or all Defendants that any or all of the elements for certifying a class under Rule 23(b)(2) or 23(b)(3) have been established.

**XI. OTHER PROVISIONS**

11.1 23(b)(2) Settlement Class Counsel shall comply with Rule 5-120 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct in making any extra-judicial statements regarding the Settlement. 23(b)(2) Settlement Class Counsel may respond to direct communications from clients or potential clients.

11.2 Headings for Convenience Only. The headings in this Order are for the convenience of the reader only and shall not affect the meaning or interpretation of this Order.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
11.3 Change in Law: The provisions of Sections III and IV shall terminate prospectively and Defendants shall have no further obligation or liability thereunder in the event of any change in federal law which either (a) permits the reporting of information which in form or substance would otherwise be barred by this Order; or (b) prohibits anything required by this Order; and, in either such event, Defendants shall be entitled to report such information and conduct themselves in accordance with such federal law. If a change by the United States Supreme Court, the Ninth Circuit or federal regulation is otherwise contended by the Defendants to be a permission as specified in (a) above or if any case law or regulations are otherwise changed in a manner that Defendants contend to be a prohibition as specified in (b) above, then Defendants may seek a ruling from this Court, upon such notice to 23(b)(2) Settlement Class Counsel, that Defendants are entitled to report in accordance with such change, and Defendants shall be entitled to report and conduct themselves in accordance with this Court's ruling thereon. In the event of any change covered by this Paragraph, the remaining terms of this Order shall remain in force and effect.

## 19 **XII. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND RELATED NONTAXABLE EXPENSES**

20 12.1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(2), a motion for "attorneys' fees and  
21 related nontaxable expenses shall be made by motion . . . no later than  
22 14 days after the entry of judgment" unless otherwise ordered by the  
23 Court. The Court hereby declares that it will resolve issues related to  
24 attorneys' fees and related nontaxable expenses after the remaining  
25 causes of action are resolved. The attorneys' work related to the relief  
26 granted in this Order is inextricably intertwined with the attorneys'  
27 work related to the remaining causes of action. The Court will be able  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

to better determine the appropriateness of attorneys' fees and nontaxable expenses at one time, at the conclusion of the action.

12.2 No claims for attorneys' fees or nontaxable expenses will be waived by the failure to file a motion for such within 14 days of the entry of this judgment. Instead, the parties are directed to wait until the conclusion of the remaining causes of action to resolve these issues.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 19, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DAVID O. CARTER  
United States District Judge